### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

PAMELA A. AHMED,	)	
Plaintiff,	) Case No. 1:22-cv-00190-k	ζD-N
v.	) Judge: Kristi K. DuBose	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON HEALTHCARE SYSTEMS, INC.; et al.,	) ) )	
Defendants.	)	

## <u>DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE REGARDING</u> MR. EDWARDS' PERSONAL HIP IMPLANT EXPERIENCE

At his deposition, plaintiff's expert, Mr. Edwards, testified about his personal experience being implanted with artificial hip implants. According to his testimony, Mr. Edwards has "an artificial hip that's 19 years old and another one that's 13 years old. So this case is making me wonder when is it going to go and --." (Dep. of Richard Edwards ("Edwards Dep.") 76:17-20, Aug. 24, 2023 (Ex. 1); see also id. 82:17-19 ("I have a 19-year-old hip with a modular design. So it's good enough for me.").) Defendant, Medical Device Business Services, Inc. ("DePuy"), moves the Court to enter an order barring Mr. Edwards from testifying about the artificial hip implants he received (including their performance) because such testimony is irrelevant and prejudicial and would serve only to confuse the jury.

*First*, evidence related to Mr. Edwards' hip implants is entirely irrelevant. The performance of Mr. Edwards' hip implants, including how long they have lasted, is not probative of whether Ms. Ahmed's hip implant was unmerchantable. This is all the more true because Mr. Edwards could not even recall the manufacturers or models of his implants; he could only recall that one

was "titanium" and the other was "cobalt-chrome alloy." (Edwards Dep. 76:21-77:8; see also id.

120:13-14 ("I think I might have UHMW in my hip, my left hip.").)

**Second**, any supposed relevance of Mr. Edwards' personal experience with his hip

implants would be substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice and jury confusion

under Rule 403. If Mr. Edwards is permitted to offer testimony concerning his personal implant

experience, and, in particular, the longevity of his implants, there is a significant risk that the jury

may assume that the absence of complications for Mr. Edwards' hips necessarily implies that Ms.

Ahmed's liner was defective. This would be particularly unfair given the vagueness of Mr.

Edwards' recollections of his personal experiences. Moreover, defendants would have no choice

but to cross-examine Mr. Edwards about the facts and circumstances of these other devices,

resulting in an unnecessary mini-trial that delays the trial and confuses the jury. For this reason,

too, such evidence should be excluded.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should exclude evidence regarding Mr. Edwards'

personal experiences with his hip implants.

Respectfully submitted this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2024.

/s/ Joseph P. H. Babington

JOSEPH P. H. BABINGTON HELMSING, LEACH, HERLONG,

NEWMAN & ROUSE, P.C.

Post Office Box 2767

Mobile, Alabama 36652

Telephone: (251) 432-5521

Email: jpb@helmsinglaw.com

2

TERRI L. BRUKSCH (admitted pro hac vice) BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP 11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Telephone: (317) 231-7246 Email: terri.bruksch@btlaw.com

#### /s/ S. Eric Rumanek

S. ERIC RUMANEK (admitted pro hac vice)
Georgia Bar No. 558047
TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON
SANDERS LLP
Bank of America Plaza
600 Peachtree St., N.E., Suite 3000
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Telephone: 404-885-3000

Email: eric.rumanek@troutman.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this  $3^{rd}$  day of May, 2024, a copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to those registered for this case on the CM/ECF system.

/s/ Joseph P. H. Babington OF COUNSEL

4881-8656-0699, v. 1

Case 1:22-cv-00190-KD-N Doc# 92 Filed 05/03/24 Page 4 of 9 PageID# 1369

# EXHIBIT 1

```
Page 1
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
           FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
 3
                      SOUTHERN DIVISION
 4
 5
     PAMELA A. AHMED,
          Plaintiff,
6
                        CASE NO. 1:22-cv-00190
 7
     v.
8
9
     JOHNSON & JOHNSON
     HEALTHCARE SYSTEMS, INC.;
10
     et al.,
          Defendants.
11
12
13
                 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF:
14
                      RICHARD EDWARDS
15
16
                   STIPULATIONS
17
               IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and
18
     between the parties through their respective
     counsel, that the deposition of:
19
20
                       RICHARD EDWARDS
2.1
     may be taken before Lisa Bailey, Notary Public,
     State at Large, at Baker Donelson, 1901 6th Avenue
2.2
     North, Suite 2600, Birmingham, Alabama 35203, on
23
24
     August 24, 2023 commencing at approximately
25
     9:05 a.m.
```

877-373-3660 800.808.4958

Page 76 1 authoritative. So what source --2. MR. RICHARDSON: Let him answer. 3 told you he had some thoughts about it. Do I consider anything authoritative? I 4 Α. 5 haven't seen anything that I would call authoritative. I think the registry is an attempt 6 7 to establish the actual facts of revision rate, and I think -- I don't think the reporting is complete 8 9 enough to be completely confident in the -- some of 10 those opinions. So revision rate, no, I mean, 11 that's something -- if I wanted to find out that 12 number, I'd be looking at National Institutes of 13 Health to see if they have a standard or 14 recommendation or byline or I'd go talk to my 15 friends who do orthopedic work. And I have two 16 artificial hips and an artificial shoulder. So I 17 have my own interest. Now, I have an artificial 18 hip that's 19 years old and another one that's 13 19 years old. So this case is making me wonder when 20 is it going to go and --21 Do you know what type you have, type of 2.2 components? One was 2003, 2004, so the -- I don't 23 think that would be a DePuy. But it is titanium. 24 Dr. White put it in at Brookwood Medical Center. 25

Page 77

Q. What's his first name? Do you know?

2.

2.2

- A. No. He's retired now. He's probably dead now. And Dr. Smith is still active, and he's at Alabama Orthopedics. He doesn't do hips anymore. He does back surgeries now.
  - Q. But he put in your second hip?
- A. He put in the second hip, but it was cobalt-chrome alloy. So I thought these are titanium mostly. I don't know if they make this particular implant in cobalt-chrome or not. If I remember, he really didn't give me a choice. It's going to be cobalt-chrome. And that's an issue with me because I'm allergic to nickel, high nickel alloys. So I always get into that. And being a metallurgist, the doctors and I have a pretty prolonged conversation about the materials that go into the femur.
- Q. Getting back to my question. Other than looking at registry data, are you aware of any other source that you consider to be authoritative regarding total hip survivability or revision rates?
  - A. No, I don't know of --
- Q. Do you know what the acronym NICE,
- 25 | N-I-C-E, all caps, stand for?

Page 82

Q. Okay. You don't remember. Were you working for the defense or for the plaintiff on those cases?

2.

2.2

- A. The -- I believe the defense.
- Q. What was your opinion in those cases, if you remember?
- A. That the fatigue did, in fact, happen, that it originated at the point of highest stress. And we were asked to come up with ways to improve the product. That included compression, shock blasting, different processing of the casting during production and actually ion nitriding was suggested.

Now, none of that -- some of that really helped. But none of it worked well enough. The modular design seems to have taken care of that problem as opposed -- you know, I have a 19-year-old hip with a modular design. So it's good enough for me.

- Q. So this was a nonmodular femoral stem?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Have you ever been -- well, in this case, the stem cases, those two cases, were you tendered to a court as qualified to offer an opinion regarding the devices?

Page 120

- Q. Do you know what stage of the process it's crosslinked or radiated?
  - A. It's post-molding radiation.

2.

2.2

- Q. And do you -- have you done any analysis of the specific properties of this polyethylene?
- A. No, because I -- no, nondestructive, so I've done what I can.
- Q. Do you know what the specific polyethylene was chosen here versus some other polyethylene mix?
- A. No. There's -- you know, as far as I know about the history, I know there was Marathon. There was UHMW. In fact, I think I might have UHMW in my hip, my left hip. And there's no definitive delineation between the Marathon and the AltrX liner. I just know they're two different liners, so, no, I don't know the chemistry.

I mean, I could make some educated guesses based on the spectra. I know there's a hydroxyl group in there. Where is it --

- Q. Well, if you don't know, we don't need to go through it. I just was asking you whether you did not know.
- A. I know what's in my work.
  - O. So it's Exhibit 7.